

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,376	04/22/2004	Elisabetta Carrea	003-130	7097
36844 75	590 02/08/2006		EXAM	INER
CERMAK & KENEALY LLP			RODRIGUEZ, WILLIAM H	
515 E. BRADDOCK RD ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3746		

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/829,376	CARREA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William H. Rodriguez	3746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	01 December 2005.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	·	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the			
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-7,9-19,23-26 a		n consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,8,20-22,27,41 and 42</u> is/are re	ejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam		•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority document 	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	•	<u> </u>	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not i	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)/Mail Date	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the amendment and remarks filed 12/1/05. Since the examiner has applied new grounds of rejection, this office action is being made non-final to afford the applicant the opportunity to respond to the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-3, 8, 20-22, 27, 41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a credible asserted utility or a well established utility.

Applicant states that studies have indicated that achieving a non-visible flame with recirculation rates ranges from 100% to 200% would not be possible (page 11 last line to page 12 the first two lines of the response filed 11/2/05). Nevertheless, applicant claims this unaccomplishable task as his invention in claims 1 and 20, "a non-visible flame with re-circulation rates ranges from 100% to 200%". Since a non-visible flame with re-circulation rates ranges from 100% to 200% is not possible (as clearly stated by applicant), then the invention is inoperative and therefore lacks any utility. Further, if all the combustion gases (100% of flue gas) generated in the combustor are re-circulated. Then, how will the turbine work if there is no working fluid send to the turbine since 100% of it was re-circulated? Also, how would you re-re-circulate 200% of something when you only have 100% of that? The subject matter being

claimed (as written) does not make any sense at all. Appropriate correction and clarification as to what applicant is trying to claim as the invention is requested.

How can you obtain a non-visible flame with re-circulation rates ranges from 100% to 200%?, when studies have shown that it is just not possible.

How can the turbine work if it is not receiving any working fluid, since all of it (100-200%) is being re-circulated?

How can you re-circulate 200% of something "flue gas" when you only have 100% of that?

The specification fails to enable one of ordinary skill in the art how to make and use the invention. The specification does not teach how one of ordinary skill in the art would obtain a non-visible flame with re-circulation rates ranges from 100% to 200%. What are the steps to follow to obtain this, under what conditions one would be able to obtain this, if possible?

Claims 1-3, 8, 20-22, 27, 41 and 42 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a credible asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

A prior art search has been attempted to the Examiner's best understanding of the invention. However, due to the deficiencies of the claims and the specification a full and complete application of the prior art to the claims was not possible. Lack of an art rejection should not be construed as an indication of allowable subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,376 Page 4

Art Unit: 3746

Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to William H. Rodriguez whose telephone number is 571-272-4831.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Timothy S. Thorpe can be reached on 571-272-4444. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William H. Rodriguez 2/3/06

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3746